


# 77 Responses out of 95 1A schools

## Data Below

If you have a choice between 8 man or 9 man which would it be?

75 responses




### 75 Responses

94.7% 8 Man	71
2.7 % 9 Man	2
1.3 % 6 Man	1
1.3 % NA	1

Would you be interested in a 6-8-11 Model for football?

76 responses



### 76 Responses


85.5% Yes 60

13.2% No 10

1.3% NA 1

Would you be interested in 6-9-11 Model for football?

76 responses




76 Responses

85.5 % No 65

14.5% Yes 11

Should 2A-6A Classifications have an opinion on 8 man football?

75 responses



75 Responses

80% 65

20% 15

If we are trying to enhance and provide opportunities for football athletes and communities, what is the rationale for your decision on the model you chose?

### 57 Responses

not playing

Small schools need more options for kids to play.

9 man puts one more kid on the field and is an easier transition from 11 man

8 man is established, available in surrounding states for scheduling.

Any form of football with less than 11 people is a sub par form of the original intent of the game. With that being said, 8man has a long tradition in Oregon with neighboring states that use the same format. Going away from tradition and what our neighbors use seems unnecessary.

We do not play football.

n/a

With less students palying 6 man just makses sence and 9 man fro 2A -6a at the Jv level and frosh level means kids get more games.

Smaller schools need to have the opportunity to be competitive against schools of similar student body. 8 man has served large 1A schools well competitively, however it would not enhance or provide any opportunities to change course for a 9 man model. Similarly, schools under 45 students need to have the same opportunity 8 man has provided. The 6 - 8 - 11 provides both qualities to the lower classification of schools.

The smaller schools need more opportunity to compete.

8 is less than 9. It is easier to field a team of 8 than 9. 8 Man is an established game with history. Border states (ID, WA) play 8-man which provides more NL opportunities for outlying communities, especially in Eastern Oregon.

We are already playing 6 man football. I think there needs to be more options for the bigger schools.

When we were 8 man we were playing 2A schools that should have been playing 11 man football. There need to be enough options within your classification and limits need to be in place to make it as fair as possible to each team. Playing 8 man with 11 guys against a team with 20+ players, isn't fair.

These athletes have already lost so much in sports due to COVID and many other political agendas.

These athletes need every opportunity they can to play a sport they love. Yes, maybe 8 man isn't "traditional" but who cares. I'm all about it for the athletes so they can play.

To continue playing 8man as we have at Fallscity since 1960!! Our administration,community and coaches all identify with 8man not 6 or 9. !!!Our wish is to continue playing 8man until the sun doesn't rise or set any more !!!

We are struggling at times to field a team because of lack of numbers and increasing to 9 man only exacerbates that issue. With the ADM caps for 6 man it will not allow us to even go down to play 6 man now.

We are a 2A school with a small football program and we are surrounded by 1A schools who play 8 man. It's to our advantage to stick with 9 man.

History, ability to field teams in small populations

We do not have football, but if we joined in the future, it would probably be for 6-man.

#of players - 9 man will force us to go to 6 man - tradition

It is tough to have numbers right now and in small schools those numbers can shift year by year. We need to have a six man model for sure.

The 6-8-11 model allows super small schools with limited enrollment to still participate in varsity football.

Smaller schools need more flexibility. 6 man is great for small schools, 9 man would allow small schools to play up a little easier.

Being a small school with a soccer program, if we move to 9 man football it would almost guarantee we would never be able to have a football program.

8 man format/model

I think football should truly be 8 or 11 man (6 is viable for small schools but that almost needs to be flag football). If need be I would combine more high schools to give athletes the opportunity.

Too many divisions seems like we would have too many nearby schools in multiple categories (6,8,9,11).

The number of students allows us to play 8 man


Opportunity for athletes, where is the data kids are not playing 8 man football. Can not look at all classifications. Data needs to be broken down by classifications which the OSAA has the data, this is why we do preselection forms.

I think the model already provides opportunities for all.

6-man should be a short term emergency solution to low number of participants. 8 man should be divided into big and little schools with a specific cut-off number

Considering the justification for moving to 9 man football in the last football adhoc committee was the ability to have a JV program. Would you be interested in playing 3 or 4 man Basketball?

72 responses



- Yes
- No
- Schools unable to field basketball should add wrestling :). So no, not interested in 3 or 4 player basketball.
- I'm sure these meetings are difficult. And a survey like this is tough if you haven't been involved in those discussions and know all the data. However, I have been advocating for the smaller 1A schools...

83.3% No

13.9% Yes

2.8% NA